UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TIM TOTH,	: Civil Action No.:
Plaintiff,	:
v.	• •
CAVALRY PORTFOLIO SERVICES; and Does 1-10, inclusive,	COMPLAINT
Defendant(s).	: :

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, TIM TOTH, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the harassment of Plaintiff by the Defendants and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
 - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as a substantial part of the events, giving rise to the claim, took place in Nevada.

PARTIES

4. The Plaintiff, TIM TOTH ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Las Vegas, Nevada, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

- 5. Defendant CAVALRY PORTFOLIO SERVICES ("CPS"), is a business entity operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6). Jurisdiction over CPS is also proper due to their act of reporting a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff to the nationally published credit reporting agencies.
- 6. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by CPS and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
- 7. CPS at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors (both parties collectively described hereinafter as "Defendants").

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

- 8. Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation (the "Debt") to HSBC Bank Nevada (the "Creditor").
- 9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to CPS for collection, or CPS was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. CPS Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

FACTS

12. Defendants began reporting an allegedly past-due account with the credit

reporting bureaus.

13. At no point did Defendants provide Plaintiff with a notice as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 14. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 15. As a direct consequence of Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.
- 16. Plaintiff has also contemplated filing bankruptcy as a result of Defendants' illegal conduct to stop harassing calls in the future.

D. Respondeat Superior Liability

- 17. The acts and omissions of CPS, and the other debt collectors employed as agents by CPS were committed within the time and space limits of their agency relationship with their principal, Defendant CPS.
- 18. The acts and omissions by CPS and these other debt collectors were incidental to, or of the same general nature as, the responsibilities that these agents were authorized to perform by CPS in collecting consumer debts.
- 19. By committing these acts and omissions against Plaintiff, CPS and these other debt collectors were motivated to benefit their principal, Defendant CPS.
- 20. CPS is therefore liable to Plaintiff through the Doctrine of Respondent Superior for the intentional and negligent acts, errors, and omissions done in violation of state and federal law by its collection employees, including but not limited to violations of the FDCPA and

Nevada tort law, in their attempts to collect a debt from Plaintiff.

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA - 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

- 21. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 22. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e in that Defendants engaged in false, deceptive or misleading behavior in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 23. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2) in that Defendants misrepresented the amount of the Debt owed by Plaintiff and attempted to have Plaintiff pay more than the Debt owed to Creditor.
- 24. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8) in that Defendants communicated or threatened to communicate false credit information, including the failure to communicate that the Debt was "disputed", in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 25. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g in that Defendants failed to send a notice, as required by 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a), to Plaintiff within five days of the report being made to the credit bureas.
- 26. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
 - 27. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.
- 28. The Plaintiff has been required to retain the undersigned as counsel to protect his legal rights to prosecute this cause of action, and is therefore entitled to an award or reasonable attorneys' fees plus costs incurred.

111

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants awarding the Plaintiff:

COUNT I.

VIOLATIONS OF NRS 604A AS INCORPORATED THROUGH 15 U.S.C. § 1692

- for actual damages including, but not limited to, the emotional distress the Plaintiff
 has suffered (and continues to suffer) as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or
 negligent FDCPA violations pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- 2. for statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- 3. for punitive damages; and
- 4. for any other and further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: August 6, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

By /s/George Haines, Esq.
George Haines, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 9411
HAINES & KRIEGER, LLC
5041 N. Rainbow Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
Phone: (702) 880-5554

Phone: (702) 880-5554 FAX: (702) 385-5518

Email: Ghaines@hainesandkrieger.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

TIM TOTH